THE EFFICACY OF TREATMENT OF LOCAL RESIDUAL NEOPLASIA UNDER STANDARDIZED CONDITIONS

<u>Urban O.¹</u>, Pipek B.¹, Kajzrlikova I.M.², Fojtik P.¹, Falt P.¹, Kliment M.¹, Vitek P.²

¹ Gastroenterology, Vitkovice Hospital, Ostrava, Czech Republic

² Beskydy Gastrocenter, Hospital Frydek-Mistek, Czech Republic

Any author has no conflict of interest







Laterally spreading (type) tumors (LSTs)

- LSTs are superficial flat elevated (0-IIa) neoplastic lesions with diameter ≥10mm
- In the absence of sm neoplastic invasion, there is no risk of lymph node metastasis
- Endoscopic resection has replaced surgery as first line treatment modality

Local residual neoplasia (LRN)

- LRN is defined as presence of neoplastic tissue in the biopsy from post-endoscopic resection site
- Reported occurence of LRN after EMR is 15 (5-55)%
- *Piecemeal* resection is the main risk factor
- LRN may develop into the invasive cancer

Treatment of local residual neoplasia

Endoscopy (APC, re-EMR, ESD)

Metaanalysis (351 LRNs, APC, re-EMR) 79 % could be eradicated in one session

Surgery

1 % of lesions initially considered adequate for EMR

No prospective studies of LRN treatment under standardized conditions have been published yet

Belderbos GE. et al. Endoscopy 2014 ; 46: 388-400.



to evaluate the efficacy of LRN treatment under standardized conditions in patients after EMR of LSTs



- Design: Prospective interventional
- Inclusion period: 10/2013- 9/2014
- Sites: Two centers in the Czech Republic
- Ethics: Ethics committee at Vitkovice Hospital
- Registration: ClinicalTrial.gov NCT02386618
- Inclusion: All consecutive patients referred for LRN treatment
- Exclusion: Incomplete therapy of original lesion Previous LRN therapy attempt

Methods

t=0	Initial colonoscopy (treatment of LST)
	Complete resection- follow up Incomplete resection - exclusion
t= 3m	1st follow-up colonoscopy
	Pozitive for LRN- INCLUSION TO THE STUDY LRN classification LRN treatment
t=9m	2nd follow-up colonoscopy (LRN treatment assessment)

Proposed endoscopic classification of LRN and corresponding treatment

Туре	Α	В	С	D	E
					2010
Endoscopic characteristics	Normal post-EMR site	≤ 5mm	> 5mm Non-lifting negative	> 5mm Non-lifting positive	Complex
Corresponding treatment	APC	APC	Re-EMR	ESD	Surgery

Type B LRN treatment by APC



Type C LRN treatment by re-EMR and APC



Type D LRN treatment by ESD





Demographic and clinical characteristics (n=25)

- Age (mean±SD) 69.3 ± 13.8
- Gender (M/F) 10/15
- Size of original LST

10-19 mm	1 (4 %)
20-29 mm	6 (24 %)
≥ 30 mm	18 (72 %)

• Histology of original LST

LGIEN	6 (24 %)
HGIEN	14 (56 %)
Intramucosal cancer	5 (20 %)

LRN location and histology (n= 25)



LGIEN 12 (48 %) HGIEN 13 (52 %)

Results of LRN treatment after 6 months

LRN type	Α	В	С	D	E	Σ
n	0 (0 %)	12 (48 %)	8 (32 %)	5 (20 %)	0 (0 %)	25 (100 %)
Lost from FU		1*		1**		2 (8 %)
LRN negative		10 (90.9 %)	7 (87.5 %)	4 (100 %)		21 (91.3 %)
LRN pozitive		1	1	0		2 (8.7 %)

* Mental problems ** Warfarin treatment

Complications and LRN treatment failures

- No mortality
- No perforation, severe and/or delayed bleeding
- Treatment failure in 2 (8.7 %) cases of LRN
 (1) APC of type B
 (2) Re-EMR of type C

Treatment failure



Discussion

In our previous study

- The occurence of LRN after 15 months was 20.3 %
- LRN treatment was complete in 8/17 (47.1 %)
- Lack of standardization and using mostly APC for LRN treatment suggested as possible causes

Limitations

- Limited number of patients
- Only 6months of follow- up after LRN treatment
- Bicentric study design



In our study, eradication of LRN after EMR of LST was achieved in 91.3 % during one treatment session

Selection of treatment modality according to the LRN type may be useful

Further studies with larger number of cases and longer follow up are needed

Acknowledgement

Eva Kundratova, Martin Hanousek, Vincent Danzou Zoundijekpon, Otto Mikolajek, Ales Albin, Pavol Holeczy, Stanislav Jackanin, Milan Ferak, Josef Chalupa, Jan Kuchar, Jiri Platos, Pavel Reha, Vladimir Horava, Alois Hajek